26th April 1962]

Janmi system (Nilgiris)

* 12 Q.—SRI T. KARCHA GOWDER : கனம் பொதுப் பணித் துறை அமைச்சர் தயவுசெய்து கீழ்க்கண்ட கேள்விகளுக்குப் பதிலளிப்பாரா—

(அ) நீலகிரி ஜில்லா கூடலூர் தாலுக்காவில் அமுலிலுள்ள "ஜன்மி" முறையை ஒழிப்பதற்காக நியமிக்கப்பட்ட ரெவின்யூ டிவிஷனல் ஆபீசரின் ரிப்போர்ட் சர்க்காருக்குக் கிடைத்துள்ளதா ?

(இ) ஆம் என்றால், அதன்பேரில் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டது ?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH :

(அ) No, Sir.

(இ) Does not arise.

SRI T. KARCHA GOWDER : அது கிடைக்கவில்லையென்ற தாமதத்திற்கு யார் காரணம் என்று சொல்ல முடியுமா ?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : இதில் காலதாமதம் இல்லை. முறையாகத்தான் எல்லாம் நடக்கிறது.

SRI J. MATHA GOWDER : கூடலூர் தாலுகாவில் உள்ள ஜன்மி முறையைப்பற்றி சென்ற சட்டசபையில் கேட்டிருந்தோம். ரெவின்யூ மந்திரி அம்மாதிரி ஜன்மி முறையே இல்லை யென்று சொல்லிவிட்டார். இனியாவது அங்கு இருக்கும் ஜன்மி முறையை ஒழிப்பதற்குத் துரிதமான நடவடிக்கையை எடுப்பார்களா ?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : ஜன்மி முறை இருப்பதை யாருமே எப்போதும் மறுத்திருக்க முடியாது. அதை ஒழிப்பதற்கு வேண்டிய நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டு வருகிறது. சீக்கிரத்திலேயே முடிவும் எடுக்கப்படும்.

MR. SPEAKER : Questions are over.

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ANNCUNCEMENTS.

(1) SERVICE OF SUMMONS ON A MEMBER.

MR. SPEAKER : I have to make an announcement regarding a summons.

I have to inform the House that I have received a summons in duplicate from the Sub-Magistrate, Panruti, to be served on an hon. Member of this House. Hon. Members are aware that service of summons of Members within the precincts of the House would amount to a breach of privilege of the House and there is also a specific rule in the Assembly Rules, namely, rule 249 to the effect that legal processes should not be served within the precincts of the House without obtaining the permission of the

[Mr. Speaker] [26th April 1962

Speaker. I have returned the summons to the Magistrate so that he may make his own arrangements for the service of the summons. I hope the Government would draw the attention of the Magistrate to the orders issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1600, Home, dated 15th May 1953, Home Department Memo. No. 33469 Cts. II/56-4, dated 4th May 1956 and G.O. Ms. No. 1959, Home, dated 12th July 1958, which clearly state that the Presiding Officers or the Legislature Secretariat, should not be used for the purpose of serving summons on the Members.

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM: I shall draw the attention of the High Court once again in this matter.

(2) EXPUNCTION OF OBJECTIONABLE REMARKS.

MR. SPEAKER: I have to make another announcement. Yesterday while taking part in the debate on the Motion of Thanks, the hon. Member Sri Rajaram made certain observations individually against the hon. Member Sri M. Karunanithi. I find those words are not dignified. Under Rule 255 of the Assembly Rules, I expunge the objectionable portion. In this connection I have to request hon. Members to be moderate in their language and not cast aspersions against other hon. Members and they must use only parliamentary language.

III.—GOVERNMENT BILLS.

THE MADRAS SUGAR FACTORIES CONTROL (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1962.

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN: Sir, I move—

"That the Madras Sugar Factories Control (Amendment) Bill, 1962 (L.A. Bill No. 1 of 1962) be taken into consideration."

Under section 14 (1) of the Madras Sugar Factories Control Bill, 1949, the levy of a cess, not exceeding annas four per standard maund, on sugarcane was authorized. At present a cess of Rs. 2 per ton is levied on sugarcane, brought into any factory in the State. In December 1960, the Supreme Court held, that the UttarPradesh Sugarcane Act, 1956, was invalid on the ground, that the State Government had no jurisdiction for passing such legislation. As the Supreme Court decided that the term 'local area' is to be construed only to mean the jurisdiction of a local authority and, not the premises of a factory, this Government examined the legal position and were advised that section 14 of the State Act should be amended so as to authorize the levy of a cess on sugarcane entering into any *local* area specified in such notification and note just into any areas specified in such notification. As the Legislature was not then in session, and as the matter was urgent, the Madras Sugar Factories Control Ordinance of 1962 was promulgated amending section 14 (1) of the Act. It is now proposed to replace the Ordinance by introducing this Bill. The Bill has to be passed immediately as the Ordinance will cease to operate on the expiration of six weeks from the date of the re-assembly of the Legislature. I, therefore, request the House, Sir, to accept the Motion for taking the Bill into consideration at once.